

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/736,408	12/15/2000	Miriam Fields-Babineau	4523-001	7703
7590 09/08/2004			EXAMINER	
TODD E. MARLETTE, ESQ.			SMITH, KIMBERLY S	
Fort Washington	er Terrace, Suite n MD 20744		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
g,			3644	
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/736,408	FIELDS-BABINEAU, MIRIAM		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kimberly S Smith	3644		

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -

THE REPLY FILED 13 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a)	ln
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 13 April 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:	
3.⊠ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>6-9, 11-24</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. □ Other:	
TERI P. LUU SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): as claims 10 and 25 have been cancelled, the rejections are vertically overcome as being moot.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's request that the declaration of Alice Degroot be considered is noted, however it is maintained that the use of a metal ring is not a newly raised issue in the Final rejection, irrespective of the new grounds of rejection, as the use of a metal ring has been rejected in actions pre-dating the latest Final. With regards to the prior appeal exhibits, these exhibits have been considered but do not overcome the rejections as made Final on 11/20/03.